CENTRAL FAX CENTER 408-492-3122

MAY 2 4 2007

Amendment in response to February 27, 2007 Office action Atty Dkt No.: 2003P16410US Serial No.: 10/723,338

p.9

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 12, 17 - 22, 24 and 25 remain in the application. Claims 1 - 12, 17 - 22, 24 and 25 stand rejected. Claims 13 - 16, 23 and 26 are objected to. Claims 13, 23 and 26 are canceled herein and rewritten as new claims 27 - 29, respectively. Claims 11, 14, 16, 20 and 25 are amended herein. Although this Response is being timely filed, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that may be required for this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-2179.

Claims 13 - 16, 23 and 26 are objected to for depending from a rejected base claim, but are indicated to be allowable, if rewritten in independent form. Responsive thereto, claims 13, 23 and 26 are canceled herein and rewritten as new claims 27 - 29, respectively, and so are believed to be allowable. Further, claims 14 and 16 are amended herein to depend from allowable claim 27. Thus, claims 14 - 16 are also believed to be allowable. No new matter is added. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection and, allowance of claims 14 - 16 and 27 - 29 is respectfully requested.

Claim 25 is amended for punctuation. Claims 11 and 20 are amended to recite that the "current status ... [is] information on a corresponding identified user;" which is supported by the specification, claim 1 and claim 17. No new matter is added.

1 - 12, 17 - 22, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 USC §§102(b) or 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,167,428 to Ellis. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Ellis teaches what is known as idle cycle stealing in a PC or desktop grid type pseudosystem. Col. 1, line 14 - col. 2, line 6 (e.g., Ellis "relates generally to a network structure or architecture that enables the shared used of network microprocessors for parallel processing, including massive parallel processing, ..., wherein personal computer owners provide microprocessor processing power to a network, preferably for parallel or massively parallel processing or multitasking,"). SETI@home, cited and listed by Ellis in "Other Publications" is an example of such a grid type pseudo system. Ellis barely mentions a

Amendment in response to February 27, 2007 Office action

Atty Dkt No.: 2003P16410US Serial No.: 10/723,338

screensaver, i.e., "whenever the state that all user applications are closed and the PC 1 is available to the network 14 (perhaps after a time delay set by the user, like that conventionally used on screensaver software) is detected ..., the device 12 ... [signals] 15 the network computer ... that the PC available to the network, which could then control the PC 1 for parallel processing or multitasking by another PC." Col. 12, lines 18 – 26 (emphasis added). Ellis does not, however, describe actual use of the screensaver.

Thus SETI@home is instructive for how a screensaver might be used in combination with Ellis. Individuals subscribe to SETI@home and download and install a screensaver. (setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu/). The screensaver downloads data, crunches the data whenever it is active (and the particular local system is otherwise inactive) and uploads the results. *Id.* The screensaver may or may not display number crunching progress and results. *Id.* The results are aggregated at a central location with results from other subscribers. *Id.* So effectively, a grid type pseudo system, such as described in Ellis, operates as distributed massively parallel system with a capacity roughly equivalent to the sum of idle CPU cycles from subscribers.

By contrast, claim 1, for example, recites that the "screensaver selectively [displays] status information on a corresponding identified user." Lines 6-7 (emphasis added). See also, claim 24, lines 9-10 ("filtered said user presence and availability information being current status for a respective user") and the above amendment to claims 11 and 20. Claim 1 also recites that the "status information [is] filtered from said presence and availability information," and as a result, the "screensaver apprises viewers of current status of said corresponding identified user." Lines 8-9 (emphasis added). Providing number crunching as taught/suggested by Ellis is not the same as providing user status. Neither does Ellis teach or suggest filtering and displaying user status. Therefore, Ellis fails to teach the present invention as recited in claims 1 and 24 or further, in claims 11 and 20 as amended.

Furthermore, dependent claims include all of the differences with the references as the claims from which they depend. Therefore, Ellis fails to teach or suggest the present invention as recited in claims 2 - 10, 12, 17 - 19, 21 and 22, which depend from in claims 1, 11 and 20.

Amendment in response to February 27, 2007 Office action

Atty Dkt No.: 2003P16410US Serial No.: 10/723,338

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1-12, 17-22, 24 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §§102(b) or 103(a) is respectfully requested.

The applicants have considered the references cited but not relied upon in the rejection and find them to be no more relevant than the references upon which the rejection is based.

The applicants thank the Examiner for efforts, both past and present, in examining the application. Believing the application to be in condition for allowance, both for the amendment to the claims and for the reasons set forth above, the applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the objection to claims 14 - 16 and 27 - 29, the rejection of claims 1 - 12, 17 - 22, 24 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §§102(e) or 103(a) and allow the application to issue.

Should the Examiner believe anything further may be required, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone at (650) 694-5339 for <u>a telephonic interview</u> to discuss any other changes.

Respectfully submitted,

May 24, 2007

David D. Chung Reg. No. 38,409 (650) 694-5339

Siemens Corporation

Customer Number: 28524

Intellectual Property Department
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, New Jersey 08830
(732) 321-3130

Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Department

Telephone: 732-321-3026